

108TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 566

To amend the Federal Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990 to adjust the percentage differentials payable to Federal law enforcement officers in certain high-cost areas, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JANUARY 29, 2003

Mr. KING of New York (for himself, Mr. QUINN, Mr. WALSH, Mrs. KELLY, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island, Mr. PASTOR, Mr. LATOURETTE, Mr. MEEHAN, Mr. MCHUGH, Mr. PALLONE, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Mr. SAXTON, Mr. WEINER, Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. WOLF, Mr. ISRAEL, Mr. CLAY, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. SANDLIN, Mr. ENGEL, Mrs. BIGGERT, Mr. ANDREWS, Mr. DEAL of Georgia, Mrs. CAPITO, Mr. INSLEE, Mr. PAYNE, and Mr. HOLT) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Government Reform

A BILL

To amend the Federal Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990 to adjust the percentage differentials payable to Federal law enforcement officers in certain high-cost areas, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. ADJUSTED DIFFERENTIALS.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) of section 404(b)
5 of the Federal Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990

- 1 (5 U.S.C. 5305 note) is amended by striking the matter
 2 after “follows:” and inserting the following:

| “Area | Differential |
|---|--------------|
| Atlanta Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 16.82% |
| Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT-RI Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 24.42% |
| Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, IL-IN-WI Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 25.68% |
| Cincinnati-Hamilton, OH-KY-IN Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 21.47% |
| Cleveland Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 17.83% |
| Columbus Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 16.90% |
| Dallas Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 18.51% |
| Dayton Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 15.97% |
| Denver-Boulder-Greeley, CO Consolidated Metropolitan Statis- tical Area | 22.78% |
| Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint, MI Consolidated Metropolitan Statis- tical Area | 25.61% |
| Hartford, CT Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 24.47% |
| Houston-Galveston-Brazoria, TX Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 30.39% |
| Huntsville Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 13.29% |
| Indianapolis Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 13.38% |
| Kansas City Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 14.11% |
| Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA Consolidated Metro- politan Statistical Area | 27.25% |
| Miami-Fort Lauderdale, FL Consolidated Metropolitan Statis- tical Area | 21.75% |
| Milwaukee Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 17.45% |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI Consolidated Metropolitan Statis- tical Area | 20.27% |
| New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT-PA Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 27.17% |
| Orlando, FL Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 14.22% |
| Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City, PA-NJ-DE-MD Consoli- dated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 21.03% |
| Pittsburgh Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 14.89% |
| Portland-Salem, OR-WA Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 20.96% |
| Richmond Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 16.46% |
| Sacramento-Yolo, CA Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 20.77% |
| San Diego, CA Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 22.13% |
| San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA Consolidated Metropol- itan Statistical Area | 32.98% |
| Seattle-Tacoma-Bremerton, WA Consolidated Metropolitan Statis- tical Area | 21.18% |
| St. Louis Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 14.69% |
| Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV Consolidated Metro- politan Statistical Area | 19.48% |
| Rest of United States Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area | 14.19%” . |

1 (b) SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of the provision
2 of law amended by subsection (a)—

3 (1) the counties of Providence, Kent, Wash-
4 ington, Bristol, and Newport, RI, the counties of
5 York and Cumberland, ME, and the city of Concord,
6 NH, shall be treated as if located in the Boston-
7 Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT-RI Consoli-
8 dated Metropolitan Statistical Area; and

9 (2) members of the Capitol Police shall be con-
10 sidered to be law enforcement officers within the
11 meaning of section 402 of the Federal Law Enforce-
12 ment Pay Reform Act of 1990.

13 (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
14 subsection (a)—

15 (1) shall take effect as if included in the Fed-
16 eral Law Enforcement Pay Reform Act of 1990 on
17 the date of the enactment of such Act; and

18 (2) shall be effective only with respect to pay
19 for service performed in pay periods beginning on or
20 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

21 Subsection (b) shall be applied in a manner consistent
22 with the preceding sentence.

23 **SEC. 2. LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5547 of title 5, United
25 States Code, is amended—

1 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “5545a,”;
2 (2) in subsection (c), by striking “or 5545a”;
3 and
4 (3) in subsection (d), by striking the period and
5 inserting “or a criminal investigator who is paid
6 availability pay under section 5545a.”.

7 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
8 this section shall take effect as if included in the enact-
9 ment of section 1114 of the National Defense Authoriza-
10 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 115
11 Stat. 1239).

○